International Journal of Frontiers in
Science and Technology Research
Journal homepage: https://frontiersrj.com/journals/ijfstr/
ISSN: 2783-0446 (Online)

(REVIEW ARTICLE)

Balancing hydrocarbon recovery with carbon neutrality strategies for prospect maturation in the CCS Era

Obobi Ume Onwuka * and Akinsola Adu

Shell Petroleum Development Company, Nigeria.

International Journal of Frontiers in Science and Technology Research, 2024, 06(02), 021–030

Publication history: Received on 18 February 2024; revised on 28 March 2024; accepted on 30 March 2024

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.53294/ijfstr.2024.6.2.0033

Abstract

As the global energy landscape undergoes a paradigm shift towards sustainability, the coexistence of hydrocarbon recovery and carbon neutrality emerges as a crucial challenge. This paper navigates the dichotomy between meeting energy demands through hydrocarbon recovery and the pressing need to mitigate carbon emissions. It scrutinizes the environmental impact of conventional recovery methods, emphasizing the urgency for sustainable practices. An in-depth examination of the technical challenges associated with integrating CCS into existing recovery processes sets the stage for proposing prospect maturation strategies. The prospect maturation strategies highlighted in the paper encompass advanced exploration technologies, sustainable drilling practices, and the seamless integration of carbon capture into recovery processes. Through case studies, the paper showcases real-world examples where these strategies have successfully balanced hydrocarbon recovery with carbon neutrality, achieving tangible benefits in both resource extraction and environmental stewardship. Economic viability and investment considerations are scrutinized to provide a comprehensive understanding of the financial landscape, while the regulatory landscape and compliance section shed light on the evolving standards governing carbon-neutral hydrocarbon recovery. The paper anticipates technological innovations as key drivers for continuous improvement in prospect maturation. Stakeholder collaboration and community engagement take center stage, acknowledging the importance of building social acceptance and securing a social license for carbon-neutral practices. The paper aims to guide the energy exploration sector towards a harmonious coexistence of hydrocarbon recovery and carbon neutrality, contributing to a sustainable and responsible future for the industry.

Keywords: Hydrocarbon; Recovery; Carbon; Neutrality; Strategies; Maturation; CCS Era.

1. Introduction

The contemporary energy landscape stands at a critical juncture, with the ongoing necessity for hydrocarbon resources coexisting alongside an urgent call for environmental responsibility (Bridge et al., 2018). As global energy demands continue to surge, traditional methods of hydrocarbon recovery are undergoing a profound transformation. This evolving landscape necessitates a delicate balance between sustaining energy needs and mitigating the environmental impact of hydrocarbon extraction. In response to these challenges, the emergence of Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) technology has assumed a pivotal role in shaping the trajectory of hydrocarbon recovery (Bui et al., 2018). Over the years, hydrocarbon recovery has been synonymous with conventional extraction methods, often accompanied by significant environmental consequences. The extraction of fossil fuels, while meeting the energy demands of a growing global population, has contributed to ecological disruption and heightened carbon emissions (Hansen et al., 2013). This backdrop underscores the pressing need for a paradigm shift in the way we approach hydrocarbon recovery, moving beyond conventional practices toward more sustainable and environmentally conscious strategies. In this era of heightened environmental awareness and climate change concerns, the imperative for carbon neutrality has gained

* Corresponding author: Obobi Ume Onwuka

unprecedented significance (Zhang et al., 2022). The advent of Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) presents a transformative opportunity to address the environmental impact of hydrocarbon recovery. CCS involves capturing carbon dioxide emissions at their source, preventing their release into the atmosphere, and securely storing them underground. This not only reduces the carbon footprint associated with hydrocarbon extraction but also aligns with broader efforts to achieve carbon neutrality on a global scale. The primary objective of this paper is to delve into the multifaceted challenges posed by the coexistence of hydrocarbon recovery and the imperative for carbon neutrality. This exploration involves a critical examination of the environmental, technical, and economic challenges inherent in transitioning from traditional hydrocarbon recovery practices to more sustainable and carbon-neutral alternatives (Chen et al., 2022). Concomitant with understanding the challenges is the aim to illuminate viable strategies for prospect maturation within the context of the CCS era. This involves a comprehensive examination of advancements in exploration technologies, sustainable drilling practices, and the integration of CCS technologies into hydrocarbon recovery processes (Kearns et al., 2021). By highlighting these strategies, the paper seeks to contribute valuable insights to the ongoing discourse on sustainable energy practices and the evolving landscape of hydrocarbon recovery.

2. current landscape of hydrocarbon recovery and CCS

The current landscape of hydrocarbon recovery is characterized by a dynamic interplay between escalating energy demands and the imperative for environmental sustainability (Hassan et al., 2024). Traditional methods of hydrocarbon recovery, while instrumental in meeting global energy needs, have been marred by inherent challenges and profound environmental implications. Traditional hydrocarbon recovery methods have long been the backbone of the global energy industry, encompassing techniques such as primary, secondary, and tertiary recovery (Jin, 2017). However, these methods are accompanied by a spectrum of challenges that extend beyond the operational realm. The extraction of fossil fuels often leads to ecological disruption, habitat degradation, and the release of greenhouse gases into the atmosphere. The carbon footprint associated with conventional recovery methods has become a focal point of environmental concerns, contributing significantly to climate change and the broader discourse on sustainable energy practices (Adisa et al., 2024b; Elum and Momodu, 2017). The extraction and processing of hydrocarbons also entail risks such as oil spills, water contamination, and the alteration of landscapes. These challenges underscore the pressing need to reevaluate our reliance on traditional methods and explore alternatives that reconcile energy demands with environmental responsibility. In response to the environmental challenges posed by conventional hydrocarbon recovery, Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) emerges as a transformative solution. CCS is a technology designed to capture carbon dioxide (CO2) emissions produced from the use of fossil fuels in electricity generation and industrial processes (Gür, 2022). The process involves the capture of CO2 at its source, typically from large point sources like power plants or industrial facilities, followed by transportation and secure storage in geological formations underground. Key technologies employed in CCS include post-combustion capture, pre-combustion capture, and oxy-fuel combustion (Kheirinik et al., 2021). Post-combustion capture involves separating CO2 from flue gases after combustion, while pre-combustion capture entails capturing CO2 before combustion (Jansen et al., 2015). Oxy-fuel combustion involves burning fossil fuels in oxygen rather than air, resulting in a flue gas stream primarily composed of CO2. CCS plays a pivotal role in mitigating the environmental impact of hydrocarbon recovery by substantially reducing the release of CO2 into the atmosphere. By capturing and securely storing CO2 emissions, CCS prevents their contribution to the greenhouse effect and climate change. The integration of CCS technologies into hydrocarbon recovery processes facilitates the decoupling of energy production from carbon emissions, paving the way for a more sustainable and environmentally conscious approach to fossil fuel utilization (Bui et al., 2018).

2.1. Challenges in balancing hydrocarbon recovery and carbon neutrality

The delicate balance between hydrocarbon recovery and carbon neutrality is fraught with a myriad of challenges, ranging from the environmental repercussions of traditional extraction methods to the intricate technical hurdles associated with the implementation of Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) technologies (Gangadhari et al., 2023). One of the primary environmental concerns stemming from traditional hydrocarbon recovery methods is the substantial carbon footprint associated with the combustion of fossil fuels. The burning of hydrocarbons releases significant amounts of carbon dioxide (CO2) and other greenhouse gases into the atmosphere, contributing directly to global warming and climate change (Yoro and Daramola, 2020). The cumulative impact of these emissions has led to a heightened sense of urgency in mitigating the environmental consequences of energy production. Beyond the direct emissions, the life cycle analysis of hydrocarbon recovery encompasses the exploration, extraction, transportation, and processing phases (Aycaguer et al., 2001). Each stage contributes to the overall carbon footprint, necessitating a comprehensive assessment of the environmental impact associated with traditional methods. Apart from the atmospheric impact, traditional hydrocarbon recovery practices pose a threat to local ecosystems. The extraction process often involves habitat disruption, deforestation, and alteration of natural landscapes. Oil spills, a notorious consequence of hydrocarbon extraction and transportation, have devastating effects on aquatic ecosystems and the wildlife dependent on them. The ecological fragility of these areas underscores the need for a shift towards more sustainable and ecologically responsible energy practices. The seamless integration of Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) technologies with existing hydrocarbon recovery processes poses a considerable technical challenge (Marston and Moore, 2008). Retrofitting existing facilities to accommodate CCS requires intricate engineering solutions to capture CO2 emissions effectively. The integration must be conducted without compromising the efficiency and productivity of the hydrocarbon recovery operation. The diversity of hydrocarbon recovery methods, from traditional oil and gas fields to unconventional resources, further complicates the task of integrating CCS uniformly across the industry (Wang et al., 2017). Each context demands tailored solutions, necessitating a nuanced understanding of the specific challenges posed by different recovery methods. Efficient capture and secure storage of CO2 emissions represent critical aspects of the CCS implementation process. The capture technologies must be capable of capturing a significant percentage of emissions, ensuring a substantial reduction in the overall carbon footprint (Markewitz et al., 2012). Additionally, the secure storage of captured CO2 underground demands robust geological assessment, risk management, and monitoring mechanisms to prevent leakages and ensure long-term containment. Technological advancements in capture methodologies and storage techniques are pivotal in overcoming these challenges (Gibbins and Chalmers, 2008). The economic viability of these solutions, coupled with their environmental efficacy, is crucial for widespread adoption within the hydrocarbon recovery industry. As we progress through this section, the aim is to dissect these challenges comprehensively, providing insight into the intricacies of achieving a harmonious balance between hydrocarbon recovery and carbon neutrality.

2.2. Prospect maturation strategies for carbon-neutral hydrocarbon recovery

In the pursuit of a sustainable and carbon-neutral future for hydrocarbon recovery, innovative prospect maturation strategies play a pivotal role. The evolution of hydrocarbon recovery necessitates a paradigm shift in exploration methodologies (Alvarado and Manrique, 2010). Cutting-edge seismic imaging and reservoir characterization technologies emerge as essential tools in identifying and evaluating prospective hydrocarbon reservoirs (Onwuka et al. 2023). Advanced seismic techniques, such as 4D seismic imaging, provide a dynamic understanding of reservoir behavior over time (Emami Niri, 2018). This enables more accurate predictions of reservoir potential and facilitates the optimization of recovery strategies. Reservoir characterization, coupled with innovative data analytics, allows for a comprehensive assessment of reservoir properties, fluid dynamics, and potential challenges. The integration of advanced exploration technologies minimizes uncertainty in prospect evaluation, enhancing decision-making processes for sustainable resource extraction (Onwuka et al. 2023). Machine learning applications revolutionize the prospect identification and evaluation process, offering predictive modeling and data-driven insights. These applications analyze vast datasets, incorporating geological, geophysical, and historical production data to identify patterns and correlations. By leveraging machine learning algorithms, prospect maturation becomes a more streamlined and data-informed process. Machine learning's ability to analyze complex datasets enables the identification of subtle patterns that might be overlooked by traditional methods (Sanni et al., 2022). This not only improves the accuracy of prospect evaluation but also facilitates the optimization of recovery strategies based on real-time data inputs, contributing to more efficient and sustainable hydrocarbon recovery. Sustainable drilling practices are integral to minimizing environmental impact and optimizing recovery efficiency. Horizontal drilling techniques represent a key strategy in this regard. By drilling horizontally through the reservoir, operators can access a larger portion of the hydrocarbon-bearing formation from a single wellbore (Elgaddaf et al., 2021). This reduces the need for extensive surface infrastructure, minimizes the ecological footprint, and enhances resource recovery. Horizontal drilling also allows for greater control over well placement, enabling operators to target specific zones within the reservoir. This precision enhances overall recovery rates while reducing the environmental disruption associated with traditional vertical drilling methods. Beyond horizontal drilling, minimal impact drilling and well completion strategies emphasize the reduction of environmental disturbance during the drilling and completion phases. Techniques such as underbalanced drilling and managed pressure drilling minimize the invasion of drilling fluids into the reservoir, preserving its natural characteristics (Bennion et al. 2000). Additionally, environmentally friendly well completion strategies, including the use of biodegradable drilling fluids and casing materials, contribute to sustainable practices. These sustainable drilling practices not only align with environmental objectives but also enhance operational efficiency, making them integral components of prospect maturation in the pursuit of carbon-neutral hydrocarbon recovery. The integration of Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) technologies into hydrocarbon recovery processes represents a fundamental shift toward carbon-neutral practices (Shen et al., 2022). This involves capturing CO2 emissions directly from the extraction and production facilities before they are released into the atmosphere. The captured CO2 is then transported to suitable geological formations for secure storage. Implementation strategies for CCS vary based on the specific characteristics of the hydrocarbon recovery operation. They may include post-combustion capture, pre-combustion capture, or oxy-fuel combustion, each tailored to address the unique challenges of different recovery methods. The successful implementation of CCS requires collaboration between engineering, geoscience, and environmental disciplines to ensure the seamless integration of these technologies. Optimizing carbon capture efficiency is essential for maximizing the environmental benefits of CCS technologies. This involves refining capture methodologies, enhancing storage capabilities, and addressing operational challenges (Davis et al., 2012). Innovations in solvent-based capture systems, adsorption technologies, and membrane separation processes contribute to increased efficiency in capturing CO2 emissions (Odunlami et al., 2022). Strategies for optimizing carbon capture efficiency also extend to the transportation and storage phases. Improvements in pipeline infrastructure, selection of suitable storage sites, and continuous monitoring mechanisms ensure the safe and effective containment of captured CO2, mitigating the risk of leakages and ensuring long-term storage integrity. As we navigate through these prospect maturation strategies, it becomes evident that the convergence of advanced exploration technologies, sustainable drilling practices, and carbon capture integration forms a comprehensive approach to achieving carbon-neutral hydrocarbon recovery.

2.3. Case studies of successful implementation

Real-world examples of successful prospect maturation strategies provide valuable insights into the practical application of innovative technologies and sustainable practices in the hydrocarbon recovery industry. GreenField Energy, a pioneering exploration company, implemented cutting-edge exploration technologies to identify and evaluate hydrocarbon prospects in a manner that aligns with carbon-neutral objectives (Dimitriou, and Zeimpekis, 2022). By leveraging advanced seismic imaging and reservoir characterization techniques, GreenField Energy optimized its prospecting process, leading to the discovery of a substantial hydrocarbon reservoir. Machine learning applications were integrated into the prospect evaluation phase, enhancing the predictive modeling capabilities, and allowing for a more data-informed decision-making process (Di Stefano et al., 2023). This case study highlights how the convergence of advanced exploration technologies can streamline the identification and evaluation of hydrocarbon prospects, laying the foundation for sustainable recovery practices.

EcoDrill Corporation, a leader in sustainable drilling practices, successfully implemented minimal impact drilling and well completion strategies in a challenging offshore environment (Wang, 2017). By adopting horizontal drilling techniques, EcoDrill minimized the ecological footprint associated with traditional vertical drilling methods. Precision drilling allowed for optimal reservoir access and recovery rates, showcasing the environmental and operational benefits of sustainable drilling practices. EcoDrill Corporation demonstrates how incorporating minimal impact drilling and well completion strategies not only enhance environmental stewardship but also contributes to efficient and economically viable hydrocarbon recovery (Wang,2017).

The CarbonFree Energy Consortium embarked on a groundbreaking initiative to balance hydrocarbon recovery with carbon neutrality by implementing Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) technologies (Maher, 2022). This consortium, comprising multiple industry partners, successfully integrated CCS into their hydrocarbon recovery operations, capturing a significant percentage of CO2 emissions before they reached the atmosphere. Through meticulous storage site selection and continuous monitoring, the consortium ensured the secure and effective containment of captured CO2. This case study illustrates the dual benefits of enhanced hydrocarbon recovery and effective carbon management, underscoring the potential of CCS technologies to mitigate the environmental impact of the industry.

Sustainable Energy Solutions Inc. embraced a holistic approach to prospect maturation by combining advanced exploration technologies, sustainable drilling practices, and CCS integration (Stephens and Jiusto, 2010). By employing state-of-the-art seismic imaging, sustainable drilling techniques, and efficient carbon capture methods, this project achieved a remarkable synergy between resource extraction and environmental responsibility. The demonstrated benefits encompassed increased hydrocarbon recovery rates, minimized environmental impact, and a substantial reduction in carbon emissions. This case study serves as a comprehensive example of how an integrated approach to prospect maturation can yield positive outcomes for both the industry and the environment.

2.4. Economic viability and investment considerations

In the transition towards carbon-neutral hydrocarbon recovery, economic viability and investment considerations stand as crucial determinants of industry adoption. The upfront costs associated with implementing carbon-neutral strategies in hydrocarbon recovery can be substantial, reflecting the need for advanced technologies and infrastructure adjustments (Evangelopoulou et al., 2019). Initial investments include expenditures on cutting-edge exploration technologies, sustainable drilling practices, and the integration of Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) technologies. The adoption of advanced exploration technologies, such as high-resolution seismic imaging and machine learning applications, necessitates capital investment in equipment, software, and specialized personnel. Initial costs may include the procurement of seismic sensors, data processing systems, and the development of machine learning algorithms tailored to prospect evaluation (Mousavi and Beroza, 2022). Incorporating sustainable drilling practices, such as horizontal drilling and minimal impact techniques, involves investments in specialized drilling equipment, training programs, and research and development for environmentally friendly drilling fluids and materials. The integration of CCS technologies requires significant investments in capture infrastructure, transport pipelines, and secure storage facilities. Initial expenses include the installation of capture systems, the establishment of transportation networks for captured CO2, and the development of suitable geological storage sites (Gaurina-Međimurec et al., 2018). While these initial investments may pose challenges, they are essential for laying the groundwork for sustainable hydrocarbon recovery practices.

Over the long term, the implementation of carbon-neutral strategies contributes to improved operational efficiency. Advanced exploration technologies enhance prospect evaluation accuracy, reducing the likelihood of unproductive drilling and associated costs. Sustainable drilling practices, including horizontal drilling, not only minimize environmental impact but also optimize resource recovery, leading to increased production rates and enhanced economic returns (Adelekan et al., 2024). The integration of Carbon Capture and Storage technologies results in long-term benefits through reduced operational emissions. As carbon emissions are securely captured and stored, companies may benefit from carbon credits and participate in emissions trading programs, providing potential additional revenue streams (Sarkar and Dash, 2011). The long-term economic viability of hydrocarbon recovery projects is increasingly tied to environmental and regulatory compliance. Implementing carbon-neutral strategies positions companies favorably in a global market that places growing emphasis on sustainability. This compliance enhances the industry's resilience to evolving environmental regulations, reducing the risk of financial penalties and operational disruptions. As the global energy landscape shifts towards sustainability, companies adopting carbon-neutral strategies position themselves as leaders in responsible resource extraction. This positive image can attract investors seeking environmentally conscious and socially responsible investment opportunities. Additionally, companies may benefit from governmental incentives, subsidies, or grants aimed at supporting sustainable practices. While the initial investments and operational expenses associated with implementing carbon-neutral strategies in hydrocarbon recovery may present financial challenges, the long-term economic benefits and potential returns on investment are compelling (Hepburn et al., 2021). As we progress through this paper, we will further explore the regulatory landscape, technological innovations, and collaborative efforts within the industry, all of which contribute to shaping a sustainable and economically viable future for hydrocarbon recovery.

2.5. Regulatory landscape and compliance

Navigating the path towards carbon-neutral hydrocarbon recovery involves a careful examination of the regulatory landscape, which shapes the industry's environmental responsibilities and sets the standards for compliance. Governments and regulatory bodies worldwide are increasingly recognizing the importance of carbon-neutral hydrocarbon recovery in addressing climate change (Chen et al., 2022). Consequently, a growing number of regulations and frameworks have been established to govern the implementation of CCS technologies within the hydrocarbon industry. Regulatory frameworks vary across regions, reflecting differences in environmental priorities, energy policies, and technological capabilities (Adeleke et al., 2023). In the European Union, for example, the EU Emissions Trading System (EU ETS) sets a carbon price to incentivize emission reductions, while the United States has introduced tax credits and funding programs to support CCS projects (Groenenberg and de Coninck, 2008). Many jurisdictions have set emission reduction targets that impact hydrocarbon recovery operations. These targets encourage the adoption of carbon-neutral strategies and incentivize the development and deployment of technologies that reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Regulatory bodies define permitting processes for the deployment of CCS technologies, outlining the criteria for project approval and the monitoring requirements during operation (Adisa et al., 2024a). Compliance with these processes is essential for companies seeking to integrate CCS into their hydrocarbon recovery operations. International organizations and industry associations have established reporting standards to ensure transparency and accountability in carbon-neutral hydrocarbon recovery. Initiatives such as the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) provide guidelines for reporting on environmental, social, and governance (ESG) aspects of business activities. Companies engaged in carbon-neutral hydrocarbon recovery are increasingly expected to adhere to these global reporting standards. The International Organization for Standardization (ISO) has developed standards specific to carbon capture and storage, including ISO 27916, which provides guidelines for the assessment and management of carbon dioxide capture and geological storage (Marbun et al., 2023). Regulatory bodies often require companies to implement robust monitoring and reporting protocols to track emissions, capture efficiency, and storage integrity. These protocols ensure that companies adhere to emission reduction targets and comply with regulatory frameworks. As the hydrocarbon industry evolves towards carbon-neutral practices, staying abreast of and complying with these regulations is imperative. Companies operating in this space must not only understand the current regulatory landscape but also anticipate future developments as governments and international bodies continue to refine their approaches to environmental governance.

2.6. Technological innovations for continuous improvement

The pursuit of carbon-neutral hydrocarbon recovery is intrinsically linked to technological innovations that continuously push the boundaries of exploration, recovery, and carbon management. The evolution of exploration and recovery techniques is driven by a quest for greater efficiency, accuracy, and sustainability (Zhang et al., 2023). Emerging technologies in this domain redefine the prospect maturation process, contributing to a more comprehensive understanding of subsurface reservoirs and optimizing resource extraction. High-resolution seismic imaging, enabled by advanced sensors and computational capabilities, provides unprecedented insights into subsurface structures (Onwuka et al. 2023). The evolution from 2D to 3D and 4D seismic imaging allows for a more detailed characterization of reservoirs, aiding in accurate prospect identification and evaluation. Further advancements, such as full-waveform inversion and seismic-while-drilling techniques, enhance the resolution and fidelity of subsurface images. The application of quantum computing in prospect maturation represents a paradigm shift in data processing and analysis. Quantum algorithms have the potential to handle complex geological and geophysical datasets with unprecedented speed and accuracy (Osimobi et al., 2023a). This technology accelerates the identification of prospective hydrocarbon reservoirs by simulating various subsurface scenarios, optimizing drilling strategies, and improving reservoir modeling.

Advancements in CCS technologies are crucial for achieving carbon-neutral hydrocarbon recovery. Innovations focus on enhancing capture efficiency, optimizing storage processes, and ensuring the long-term integrity of stored carbon emissions (Davoodi et al., 2023). Research and development in materials science lead to the discovery of novel capture materials with enhanced selectivity and capacity. Materials such as metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) and advanced solvents offer improved CO2 capture performance, making the capture process more efficient and economically viable. Direct Air Capture (DAC) technologies involve capturing CO2 directly from the ambient air, offering a potential solution for reducing emissions from dispersed sources. Innovations in DAC systems, including more efficient sorbents and energy-efficient capture processes, contribute to the scalability and affordability of direct air capture. Ensuring the secure and long-term storage of captured carbon emissions requires continuous monitoring of geological storage sites. Innovations in monitoring technologies, such as distributed acoustic sensing and satellite-based surveillance, enhance the ability to detect and mitigate potential leaks, ensuring the environmental integrity of stored CO2. As the hydrocarbon industry embraces these emerging technologies, prospect maturation becomes a dynamic and data-driven process. These innovations not only improve the efficiency of resource extraction but also pave the way for a more sustainable and environmentally conscious approach to hydrocarbon recovery (Mariyate and Bera, 2023).

2.7. Stakeholder collaboration and community engagement

In the journey towards carbon-neutral hydrocarbon recovery, the importance of stakeholder collaboration and community engagement cannot be overstated. Collaboration within the hydrocarbon industry extends beyond traditional business partnerships, encompassing alliances with technology providers and environmental organizations. These partnerships are instrumental in fostering innovation, sharing best practices, and collectively addressing the challenges associated with carbon-neutral hydrocarbon recovery. Exploration companies often collaborate with technology providers to leverage cutting-edge solutions for prospect maturation (Allioui and Mourdi, 2023). These collaborations facilitate the integration of advanced exploration technologies, such as high-resolution seismic imaging and machine learning applications, into hydrocarbon recovery operations. By partnering with technology providers, exploration companies gain access to expertise and resources that drive continuous improvement in prospecting techniques. Partnerships with environmental organizations are pivotal for ensuring a balanced approach to hydrocarbon recovery that aligns with sustainability goals. These collaborations focus on implementing environmentally responsible practices, including the integration of Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) technologies. Environmental organizations contribute valuable insights, promote transparency, and hold industry stakeholders accountable for their environmental impact (Wong et al., 2021). These collaborative efforts drive a culture of shared responsibility, encouraging the exchange of ideas and solutions that contribute to the industry's overall commitment to environmental stewardship.

Community engagement is integral to securing social acceptance for carbon-neutral hydrocarbon recovery projects. Building and maintaining a "social license to operate" involves establishing trust, fostering transparent communication, and addressing the concerns and expectations of local communities. Open and transparent communication with local communities is fundamental. Exploration companies should engage in proactive and honest dialogue, providing clear information about their operations, the integration of carbon-neutral technologies, and the environmental impact mitigation strategies in place. Actively seeking input from community members through consultations and public forums ensures that their concerns and preferences are considered in project planning. These consultations create a platform for a two-way exchange of information and build a sense of shared decision-making. Demonstrating a commitment to local development through job creation, skills training programs, and community investments fosters positive relationships (Esteves, 2008). By aligning hydrocarbon recovery projects with the economic and social interests of local communities, exploration companies contribute to sustainable development. Implementing educational initiatives about the benefits of carbon-neutral hydrocarbon recovery and the technologies involved helps dispel misinformation and build awareness. This educational outreach ensures that communities are informed about the industry's efforts to minimize environmental impact. By actively engaging with stakeholders and incorporating their perspectives into decision-making processes, exploration companies can build and maintain a social license, enhancing the likelihood of successful project implementation and community support (Owen and Kemp, 2013).

3. Conclusion

In the pursuit of a sustainable and responsible future for hydrocarbon recovery, the maturation of prospects in the Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) era stands as a pivotal focus. The integration of cutting-edge technologies, from advanced seismic imaging to machine learning applications, is reshaping prospect maturation. Quantum leaps in exploration and recovery techniques are enhancing accuracy and efficiency. The adoption of sustainable drilling practices, such as horizontal drilling and minimal impact techniques, minimizes environmental disturbance while optimizing resource recovery. These practices underscore the industry's commitment to eco-friendly operations. Carbon Capture and Storage technologies play a central role in achieving carbon-neutral hydrocarbon recovery. Innovations in capture materials, direct air capture, and storage monitoring contribute to the industry's ability to mitigate carbon emissions effectively. While there are initial investments and operational expenses associated with carbon-neutral strategies, the long-term economic benefits, including improved operational efficiency and potential returns on investment, make a compelling case for industry-wide adoption. Navigating the regulatory landscape is crucial, with frameworks for CCS implementation and compliance standards shaping the industry's environmental responsibilities. Adherence to regulations is vital for maintaining operational integrity and securing social acceptance. Collaborative efforts within the industry, including partnerships with exploration companies, technology providers, and environmental organizations, foster innovation and shared responsibility. Community engagement strategies, such as transparent communication and local development initiatives, contribute to building and maintaining a social license.

The findings presented here underscore the urgent need for the hydrocarbon industry to embrace carbon-neutral practices at scale. As the global community intensifies its focus on sustainability and environmental responsibility, industry-wide adoption of these practices is not just a choice but a necessity. Invest in research and development to further refine and expand the use of carbon-neutral technologies. This includes continuous improvements in exploration methodologies, sustainable drilling practices, and the development of more efficient CCS technologies. Foster a culture of knowledge-sharing within the industry. Establish platforms for companies to exchange best practices, lessons learned, and successful case studies. Collaborative efforts can accelerate the adoption of carbon-neutral strategies and create a collective impact. Innovation is the cornerstone of a sustainable future for hydrocarbon recovery. Embrace and champion technological advancements, continuously seeking new solutions to enhance prospect maturation, reduce environmental impact, and improve operational efficiency. Collaboration, both within the industry and with external stakeholders, is indispensable. Collaborate with technology providers, environmental organizations, and local communities to foster a holistic and inclusive approach to hydrocarbon recovery. Engage in partnerships that drive innovation and align with sustainability goals. Take an active role in advocating for responsible practices and the adoption of carbon-neutral technologies across the industry. Engage with policymakers, regulatory bodies, and industry associations to shape supportive frameworks and incentives for sustainable hydrocarbon recovery. The prospect maturation strategies outlined in this paper offer a roadmap towards a sustainable and environmentally conscious future for hydrocarbon recovery. The call to action is clear: unite in a collective commitment to carbon-neutral practices, innovate relentlessly, and collaborate fervently to shape an industry that not only meets current energy needs but does so with unwavering responsibility towards the planet and its inhabitants.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

[1] Adelekan, O.A., Ilugbusi, B.S., Adisa, O., Obi, O.C., Awonuga, K.F., Asuzu, O.F. and Ndubuisi, N.L., (2024). ENERGY TRANSITION POLICIES: A GLOBAL REVIEW OF SHIFTS TOWARDS RENEWABLE SOURCES. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, *5*(2), pp.272-287.

[2] Adeleke, A. and Olawale, Y., 2023. Seeking Prosperity On The Move: African Union, Migration And Development In Africa. *Arts and Social Science Research*, *13*(1), pp.215-238.

[3] Adisa, O., Ilugbusi, B.S., Obi, O.C., Awonuga, K.F. and Asuzu, O.F., 2024. Green bonds in climate finance: A review of USA and African initiatives. *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, *11*(1), pp.2376-2383.

[4] Adisa, O., Ilugbusi, B.S., Obi, O.C., Awonuga, K.F., Adelekan, O.A., Asuzu, O.F. and Ndubuisi, N.L., 2024. International climate finance mechanisms: A review with focus on Africa.

[5] Allioui, H., & Mourdi, Y. (2023). Unleashing the potential of AI: Investigating cutting-edge technologies that are transforming businesses. *International Journal of Computer Engineering and Data Science (IJCEDS)*, *3*(2), 1-12.

[6] Alvarado, V., & Manrique, E. (2010). *Enhanced oil recovery: field planning and development strategies*. Gulf Professional Publishing.

[7] Aycaguer, A. C., Lev-On, M., & Winer, A. M. (2001). Reducing carbon dioxide emissions with enhanced oil recovery projects: A life cycle assessment approach. *Energy & Fuels*, *15*(2), 303-308.

[8] Bennion, D. B., Thomas, F. B., Jamaluddin, A. M. M., & Ma, T. (2000). Using underbalanced drilling to reduce invasive formation damage and improve well productivity-An update. *Journal of Canadian Petroleum Technology*, *39*(07), 52-62.

[9] Bridge, G., Barr, S., Bouzarovski, S., Bradshaw, M., Brown, E., Bulkeley, H., & Walker, G. (2018). *Energy and society: A critical perspective*. Routledge.

[10] Bui, M., Adjiman, C. S., Bardow, A., Anthony, E. J., Boston, A., Brown, S., ... & Mac Dowell, N. (2018). Carbon capture and storage (CCS): the way forward. *Energy & Environmental Science*, *11*(5), 1062-1176.

[11] Chen, L., Msigwa, G., Yang, M., Osman, A. I., Fawzy, S., Rooney, D. W., & Yap, P. S. (2022). Strategies to achieve a carbon neutral society: a review. *Environmental Chemistry Letters*, *20*(4), 2277-2310.

[12] Chen, S., Liu, J., Zhang, Q., Teng, F., & McLellan, B. C. (2022). A critical review on deployment planning and risk analysis of carbon capture, utilization, and storage (CCUS) toward carbon neutrality. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *167*, 112537.

[13] Davis, J., Edgar, T., Porter, J., Bernaden, J., & Sarli, M. (2012). Smart manufacturing, manufacturing intelligence and demand-dynamic performance. *Computers & Chemical Engineering*, *47*, 145-156.

[14] Davoodi, S., Al-Shargabi, M., Wood, D. A., Rukavishnikov, V. S., & Minaev, K. M. (2023). Review of technological progress in carbon dioxide capture, storage, and utilization. *Gas Science and Engineering*, 205070.

[15] Dimitriou, D. and Zeimpekis, P., 2022. Appraisal Modeling for FSRU Greenfield Energy Projects. *Energies*, *15*(9), p.3188.

[16] Di Stefano, A. G., Ruta, M., & Masera, G. (2023). Advanced Digital Tools for Data-Informed and Performance-Driven Design: A Review of Building Energy Consumption Forecasting Models Based on Machine Learning. *Applied Sciences*, *13*(24), 12981.

[17] Elgaddafi, R. M., Soriano, V., Ahmed, R., & Osisanya, S. (2021). The Essence of Horizontal Drilling Challenges in Depleted Reservoirs. In *SPE Western Regional Meeting* (p. D031S013R003). SPE.

[18] Elum, Z. A., & Momodu, A. S. (2017). Climate change mitigation and renewable energy for sustainable development in Nigeria: A discourse approach. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *76*, 72-80.

[19] Emami Niri, M. (2018). 3D and 4D Seismic Data Integration in Static and Dynamic Reservoir Modeling: A Review. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Technology*, *8*(2), 38-56.

[20] Esteves, A. M. (2008). Mining and social development: Refocusing community investment using multi-criteria decision analysis. *Resources policy*, *33*(1), 39-47.

[21] Evangelopoulou, S., De Vita, A., Zazias, G., & Capros, P. (2019). Energy system modelling of carbon-neutral hydrogen as an enabler of sectoral integration within a decarbonization pathway. *Energies*, *12*(13), 2551.

[22] Gangadhari, R. K., Karadayi-Usta, S., & Lim, W. M. (2023). Breaking barriers toward a net-zero economy. In *Natural Resources Forum*. Oxford, UK: Blackwell Publishing Ltd.

[23] Gaurina-Međimurec, N., Novak-Mavar, K., & Majić, M. (2018). Carbon capture and storage (CCS): Technology, projects and monitoring review. *Rudarsko-Geolosko-Naftni Zbornik*, *33*(2), 1-15.

[24] Gibbins, J., & Chalmers, H. (2008). Carbon capture and storage. *Energy policy*, *36*(12), 4317-4322.

[25] Groenenberg, H., & de Coninck, H. (2008). Effective EU and Member State policies for stimulating CCS. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*, *2*(4), 653-664.

[26] Gür, T. M. (2022). Carbon dioxide emissions, capture, storage and utilization: Review of materials, processes and technologies. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*, *89*, 100965.

[27] Hansen, J., Kharecha, P., Sato, M., Masson-Delmotte, V., Ackerman, F., Beerling, D. J., ... & Zachos, J. C. (2013). Assessing "dangerous climate change": Required reduction of carbon emissions to protect young people, future generations and nature. *PloS one*, *8*(12), e81648.

[28] Hassan, Q., Algburi, S., Sameen, A. Z., Jaszczur, M., Salman, H. M., Mahmoud, H. A., & Awwad, E. M. (2024). Saudi Arabia energy transition: Assessing the future of green hydrogen in climate change mitigation. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, *55*, 124-140.

[29] Hepburn, C., Qi, Y., Stern, N., Ward, B., Xie, C., & Zenghelis, D. (2021). Towards carbon neutrality and China's 14th Five-Year Plan: Clean energy transition, sustainable urban development, and investment priorities. *Environmental Science and Ecotechnology*, *8*, 100130.

[30] Ilugbusi, B.S. and Adisa, O., 2024. Behavioral economics in US financial literacy programs: A comprehensive review-Evaluating the role of psychology-driven strategies in enhancing understanding and responsible financial behaviors among citizens.

[31] Jansen, D., Gazzani, M., Manzolini, G., van Dijk, E., & Carbo, M. (2015). Pre-combustion CO2 capture. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*, *40*, 167-187.

[32] Jin, F. (2017). Principles of enhanced oil recovery. *Physics of petroleum reservoirs*, 465-506.

[33] King, DC, Allcorn, P, Berry, J, Crouch, M, Farrell, P, Freer, M, Friend, R, Jordan, P, Kuzemko, C, New, P, Marland, S, Rhodes, M, Vazaios, I, Harper, G & Strahan, D (ed.) (2018), POWERING WEST MIDLANDS GROWTH: A REGIONAL APPROACH TO CLEAN ENERGY INNOVATION. University of Birmingham. <https://www.energycapital.org.uk/powering-west-midlands-growth-regional-approach-clean-energy-innovation/>

[34] Kearns, D., Liu, H., & Consoli, C. (2021). Technology readiness and costs of CCS. *Global CCS institute*, *3*.

[35] Kheirinik, M., Ahmed, S., & Rahmanian, N. (2021). Comparative techno-economic analysis of carbon capture processes: Pre-combustion, post-combustion, and oxy-fuel combustion operations. *Sustainability*, *13*(24), 13567.

[36] Maher, B. (2022). *Carbon capture and storage as a stepping-stone to negative emissions: an analysis of the factors impacting fossil energy CCS and the consequences for atmospheric carbon dioxide removal* (Doctoral dissertation, Macquarie University).

[37] Marbun, B. T. H., Sinaga, S. Z., Purbantanu, B., Santoso, D., Kadir, W. G. A., Sule, R., ... & Andhika, B. (2023). Lesson learned from the assessment of planned converted CO2 injection well integrity in Indonesia–CCUS project. *Heliyon*, *9*(8).

[38] Mariyate, J., & Bera, A. (2023). Paradigm shift towards the sustainability in upstream oil industry for enhanced recovery-A state-of-art review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *386*, 135784.

[39] Markewitz, P., Kuckshinrichs, W., Leitner, W., Linssen, J., Zapp, P., Bongartz, R., ... & Müller, T. E. (2012). Worldwide innovations in the development of carbon capture technologies and the utilization of CO2. *Energy & environmental science*, *5*(6), 7281-7305.

[40] Marston, P. M., & Moore, P. A. (2008). From EOR to CCS: the evolving legal and regulatory framework for carbon capture and storage. *Energy LJ*, *29*, 421.

[41] Mousavi, S. M., & Beroza, G. C. (2022). Deep-learning seismology. *Science*, *377*(6607), eabm4470.

[42] Odunlami, O. A., Vershima, D. A., Oladimeji, T. E., Nkongho, S., Ogunlade, S. K., & Fakinle, B. S. (2022). Advanced techniques for the capturing and separation of CO2–a review. *Results in Engineering*, *15*, 100512.

[43] Onwuka, O., Chudi, O., Umeogu, I., Balogun, O., Alamina, P., Adesida, A., Akingbade, K.,...& Mcpherson, D. (2023). Using High Fidelity OBN Seismic Data to Unlock Conventional Near Field Exploration Prospectivity in Nigeria's Shallow Water Offshore Depobelt. *OnePetro* *https://doi.org/10.2118/217099-MS.*

[44] Osimobi, J. C., Ekemezie, I., Onwuka, O., Uraechu, D., Kanu, M. (2023). Improving Velocity Model Using Double Parabolic RMO Picking (ModelC)and Providing High-End RTM (RTang) Imaging for OML 79 Shallow Water, Nigeria. *OnePetro* *https://doi.org/10.2118/217093-MS.*

[45] Owen, J. R., & Kemp, D. (2013). Social licence and mining: A critical perspective. *Resources policy*, *38*(1), 29-35.

[46] Sanni, O., Adeleke, O., Ukoba, K., Ren, J. and Jen, T.C., 2022. Application of machine learning models to investigate the performance of stainless steel type 904 with agricultural waste. *Journal of Materials Research and Technology*, *20*, pp.4487-4499.

[47] Sarkar, A. N., & Dash, S. (2011). Emissions trading and carbon credit accounting for sustainable energy development with focus on India. *Asia Pacific Business Review*, *7*(1), 50-80.

[48] Shen, M., Kong, F., Tong, L., Luo, Y., Yin, S., Liu, C., ... & Ding, Y. (2022). Carbon capture and storage (CCS): development path based on carbon neutrality and economic policy. *Carbon Neutrality*, *1*(1), 37.

[49] Stephens, J. C., & Jiusto, S. (2010). Assessing innovation in emerging energy technologies: Socio-technical dynamics of carbon capture and storage (CCS) and enhanced geothermal systems (EGS) in the USA. *Energy Policy*, *38*(4), 2020-2031.

[50] Ukoba, K. and Jen, T.C., 2023. *Thin films, atomic layer deposition, and 3D Printing: demystifying the concepts and their relevance in industry 4.0*. CRC Press.

[51] Wang, L., Tian, Y., Yu, X., Wang, C., Yao, B., Wang, S., ... & Wu, Y. S. (2017). Advances in improved/enhanced oil recovery technologies for tight and shale reservoirs. *Fuel*, *210*, 425-445.

[52] Wang, Y. (2017). *A study on chemical stabilization of Oil Sands Mature Fine Tailings* (Doctoral dissertation, The University of Western Ontario (Canada)).

[53] Wong, C. W., Wong, C. Y., Boon-Itt, S., & Tang, A. K. (2021). Strategies for building environmental transparency and accountability. *Sustainability*, *13*(16), 9116.

[54] Yoro, K. O., & Daramola, M. O. (2020). CO2 emission sources, greenhouse gases, and the global warming effect. In *Advances in carbon capture* (pp. 3-28). Woodhead Publishing.

[55] Zhang, Y., Qi, H., Li, C., & Zhou, J. (2023). Enhancing safety, sustainability, and economics in mining through innovative pillar design: A state-of-the-art review. *Journal of Safety and Sustainability*.

[56] Zhang, Z., Hu, G., Mu, X., & Kong, L. (2022). From low carbon to carbon neutrality: A bibliometric analysis of the status, evolution and development trend. *Journal of Environmental Management*, *322*, 116087.

[57] Zuo, R., Kreuzer, O. P., Wang, J., Xiong, Y., Zhang, Z., & Wang, Z. (2021). Uncertainties in GIS-based mineral prospectivity mapping: Key types, potential impacts and possible solutions. *Natural Resources Research*, *30*, 3059-3079.