

International Journal of Frontiers in Science and Technology Research

Journal homepage: https://frontiersrj.com/journals/ijfstr/ ISSN: 2783-0446 (Online)

(REVIEW ARTICLE)



UESTR

Agile leadership in energy and technology integration: Solving the challenges of multidisciplinary collaboration in complex projects

Ikiomoworio Nicholas Dienagha*

Shell Petroleum Development Company, Lagos Nigeria.

International Journal of Frontiers in Science and Technology Research, 2022, 03(01), 059-066

Publication history: Received on 01 April 2022; revised on 02 July 2022; accepted on 08 July 2022

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.53294/ijfstr.2022.3.1.0034

Abstract

The integration of energy and technology in modern projects is characterized by increasing complexity, requiring effective collaboration across diverse disciplines. This paper explores the pivotal role of Agile leadership in addressing challenges such as cultural differences, communication barriers, project complexity, and resource management within multidisciplinary teams. Agile leadership, with its emphasis on adaptability, collaboration, and iterative progress, provides a robust framework for managing these challenges. Key solutions discussed include dynamic team structuring, iterative communication models, enhanced decision-making processes, and leveraging Agile-friendly tools. The study highlights how Agile leadership fosters innovation, efficiency, and alignment in complex projects. Practical recommendations are offered to enable organizations to implement Agile practices effectively, ensuring successful outcomes in energy and technology integration initiatives.

Keywords: Agile leadership; Multidisciplinary collaboration; Energy integration; Technology management; Iterative communication; Adaptive decision-making

1. Introduction

The energy and technology sectors are undergoing transformative shifts as societies aim to transition to sustainable energy solutions and adopt advanced technologies. The integration of these domains has led to the rise of complex, multidisciplinary projects involving diverse teams of engineers, scientists, technologists, and managers (Mourtzis, Angelopoulos, & Panopoulos, 2022). These projects often aim to address critical challenges, such as renewable energy adoption, grid modernization, and the deployment of smart systems. However, the dynamic nature of these projects and their cross-disciplinary nature introduces complexities that require innovative leadership approaches to ensure their success (Sjöö & Hellström, 2021).

The convergence of energy and technology necessitates collaboration among professionals with varied expertise and working styles. These projects are further complicated by the fast-paced advancements in both fields, necessitating a continuous reassessment of strategies and priorities (Chu, Reynolds, Tavares, Notari, & Lee, 2021). As stakeholders strive to meet ambitious targets, such as achieving net-zero emissions or enhancing energy efficiency, the need for effective leadership to bridge gaps between disciplines becomes increasingly apparent.

Despite the potential for innovation and growth, multidisciplinary collaboration in energy and technology projects is fraught with challenges. Teams often struggle with misaligned goals, communication barriers, and differing methodologies. Professionals from distinct disciplines may bring conflicting perspectives, leading to inefficiencies and delays (Hall et al., 2018). Additionally, these projects' sheer scale and complexity require meticulous coordination to manage interdependencies effectively. Without a robust framework to navigate these hurdles, projects are at risk of

Copyright © 2022 Author(s) retain the copyright of this article. This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Liscense 4.0.

^{*} Corresponding author: Ikiomoworio Nicholas Dienagha

cost overruns, missed deadlines, and suboptimal outcomes. Moreover, traditional leadership styles often fail to address such projects' dynamic and adaptive requirements. Hierarchical approaches may stifle creativity and responsiveness, while rigid processes can hinder the agility needed to address unforeseen challenges (Pretorius, Steyn, & Bond-Barnard, 2018). These limitations highlight the need for a leadership model that fosters collaboration, adaptability, and innovation in multidisciplinary environments.

Agile leadership offers a solution to the challenges posed by complex, multidisciplinary projects. Rooted in the principles of flexibility, empowerment, and iterative progress, Agile leadership prioritizes adaptability over rigid planning (Hayward, 2021). By focusing on fostering collaboration, encouraging open communication, and enabling teams to respond quickly to change, Agile leaders can drive projects toward successful outcomes even in uncertain conditions.

Agile leadership aligns with the need for continuous learning and improvement in energy and technology integration. It empowers teams to experiment, adapt, and innovate without being constrained by traditional hierarchies (Moran, 2015). This approach not only enhances the efficiency of project execution but also creates an environment where diverse expertise can thrive. Through regular feedback loops and iterative decision-making, Agile leadership ensures that projects remain aligned with their objectives while adapting to evolving circumstances (Attar & Abdul-Kareem, 2020).

This paper aims to explore the role of Agile leadership in overcoming the challenges associated with multidisciplinary collaboration in energy and technology integration projects. It seeks to provide a comprehensive understanding of how Agile principles can be applied to enhance teamwork, communication, and project outcomes in these domains. By examining the key challenges and presenting actionable solutions, this paper endeavors to demonstrate the potential of Agile leadership as a transformative approach for managing complex, cross-disciplinary initiatives. Furthermore, this paper will recommend adopting Agile practices to improve collaboration and innovation in energy and technology projects. By highlighting the benefits of Agile leadership, the discussion aims to inspire stakeholders to embrace this approach as a cornerstone for navigating the complexities of modern multidisciplinary projects. Through this exploration, the paper contributes to the broader discourse on leadership in complex project environments, offering valuable insights for both practitioners and researchers.

2. Agile Leadership Framework

2.1. Key Principles

Agile leadership is built upon foundational principles that prioritize flexibility, collaboration, and responsiveness. Originating from the Agile Manifesto, these principles emphasize valuing individuals and interactions over rigid processes, delivering outcomes incrementally, and embracing change rather than resisting it (Hayward, 2021). The focus on empowering teams to self-organize fosters a sense of ownership and accountability among members. Agile leaders prioritize clear communication, transparency, and the establishment of trust, creating an environment where innovation can thrive.

One of the cornerstones of Agile leadership is iterative progress. This approach enables teams to work in cycles, delivering smaller, functional components of a project instead of waiting until its completion to assess success (Layton, Ostermiller, & Kynaston, 2020). Such incremental deliveries enhance visibility into the project's progress and allow for continuous feedback and refinement. Agile leadership also emphasizes a customer-centric mindset, ensuring that the final outcomes align with stakeholder expectations and project goals (Hayward, 2015). Another critical aspect is the prioritization of adaptability. Given the complexities and uncertainties in modern projects, rigid plans often become obsolete. Agile leaders foster an adaptive culture where teams are encouraged to pivot and respond to new information or unforeseen challenges. This ability to navigate uncertainty is vital for managing complex, multidisciplinary initiatives (Ford, Loughry, & Ford, 2020).

2.2. Relevance to Energy and Technology Sectors

The principles of Agile leadership are particularly relevant to the integration of energy and technology. Rapid innovation, high stakes, and the need for seamless collaboration across various disciplines characterize these sectors. Agile leadership addresses these challenges by providing a framework that emphasizes flexibility and collaboration, which are critical for navigating the complexities of modern projects (Gibbons, 2015).

In energy projects, where regulatory compliance and sustainability goals play a significant role, Agile leadership ensures that teams remain responsive to policy changes and evolving market conditions. For instance, in renewable energy

projects, technology integration often requires the synchronization of hardware and software systems, each managed by distinct teams. Agile practices, such as iterative development and regular feedback, allow these teams to effectively align their efforts and address interdependencies (Holbeche, 2015).

In the technology domain, Agile leadership enables teams to stay ahead of the curve in fast-paced environments. It facilitates continuous innovation by empowering team members to experiment and learn from failures without fear of reprisal. For example, in smart grid projects, where multiple systems must work harmoniously, Agile practices can streamline collaboration between data scientists, engineers, and policy experts, ensuring the final solution is robust and scalable (Leffingwell, 2016).

The global emphasis on achieving net-zero emissions has further heightened the need for innovative solutions in energy and technology. Agile leadership provides the adaptability required to tackle this challenge. Fostering cross-functional collaboration

2.3. Role of Leaders

The role of leaders in Agile environments extends beyond traditional management responsibilities. Agile leaders act as facilitators, removing barriers hindering team performance and ensuring members have the resources and support needed to excel. They encourage open communication, ensuring that all voices are heard and diverse perspectives are considered in decision-making (Spiegler, Heinecke, & Wagner, 2021).

Adaptability is a defining trait of Agile leaders. They must recognize when to pivot strategies in response to changing circumstances. This requires a deep understanding of the project landscape and the ability to make informed decisions quickly. Agile leaders also cultivate a culture of empowerment, allowing team members to take initiative and experiment with innovative solutions. This empowerment fosters a sense of ownership and accountability, which are critical for the success of multidisciplinary projects (Šmite, Moe, & Gonzalez-Huerta, 2021).

Collaboration is another key focus for Agile leaders. They serve as the glue that binds teams together, promoting a shared vision and aligning efforts toward common goals. In energy and technology projects, this involves facilitating communication between professionals from diverse backgrounds, ensuring their expertise is effectively leveraged. Agile leaders also prioritize continuous learning, encouraging teams to reflect on their experiences and refine their approaches (Brinck & Hartman, 2017; Otaraku & Dada, 2014).

In addition to fostering collaboration, Agile leaders play a crucial role in maintaining alignment with project objectives. Keeping teams focused on delivering value incrementally ensures that projects remain on track and deliver measurable outcomes. Agile leaders also balance the needs of various stakeholders, mediating conflicts and ensuring that competing priorities are addressed equitably (Noguera, Guerrero-Roldán, & Masó, 2018). Finally, Agile leadership involves creating a supportive environment where team members feel valued and motivated. This includes recognizing achievements, providing constructive feedback, and addressing challenges promptly. Such an environment enhances team morale and drives productivity and innovation (Crnogaj, Tominc, & Rožman, 2022).

3. Challenges in Multidisciplinary Collaboration

3.1. Cultural Differences

In multidisciplinary collaboration, cultural differences among team members often present significant challenges. These differences extend beyond national or ethnic backgrounds, including variations in professional cultures, methodologies, and priorities (Stahl & Maznevski, 2021). For instance, engineers may focus on technical precision, business analysts prioritize financial viability, and environmental scientists prioritize sustainability. These differing perspectives can lead to conflicting objectives, misunderstandings, and inefficiencies if not addressed proactively.

The integration of these professional cultures requires a deliberate effort to foster mutual understanding and respect. Team members must recognize the value of diverse approaches and learn to appreciate their colleagues' unique contributions. Without such efforts, cultural differences can manifest as resistance to collaboration, lack of trust, and poor team cohesion, ultimately jeopardizing the success of the project (Driscoll, Parnell, & Henderson, 2022).

Effective leadership is essential to bridging these gaps. Leaders must create an inclusive environment where team members feel heard and valued, regardless of their professional backgrounds. This involves promoting open dialogue,

facilitating cross-disciplinary training, and emphasizing shared goals to align diverse perspectives. By doing so, teams can transform cultural differences into strengths rather than barriers (Nishii & Leroy, 2022).

3.2. Communication Barriers

Communication barriers are pervasive in multidisciplinary collaboration, often stemming from misalignment in terminologies, expectations, and communication styles. Each discipline comes with its own jargon and technical language, which can lead to confusion and misinterpretation among team members. For example, a term used commonly in engineering may have a completely different meaning in a financial context, creating unnecessary complications (Kannegieter, 2021).

Moreover, the expectations around communication may vary across disciplines. Some professionals prefer detailed, data-driven reports, while others prefer concise summaries or visual presentations. Misalignment in these preferences can lead to frustration, inefficiency, and even critical errors in project execution (Lauer, Brumberger, & Beveridge, 2018).

To address these barriers, teams must establish clear communication protocols at the outset. This includes defining a common language for shared understanding, leveraging visual tools to bridge conceptual gaps, and encouraging regular check-ins to ensure alignment. Agile frameworks, emphasizing iterative feedback and open communication, are particularly effective in addressing these challenges. They provide structured opportunities for team members to share updates, raise concerns, and clarify ambiguities, ensuring that communication remains fluid and effective.

3.3. Project Complexity

The complexity of modern multidisciplinary projects is another significant challenge. These initiatives often involve multiple interdependent components that must work seamlessly together, ranging from technical systems to regulatory requirements and stakeholder interests. In large-scale energy and technology integration projects, for instance, ensuring the interoperability of diverse systems is a monumental task (Sackey, Tuuli, & Dainty, 2015).

Managing these interdependencies requires meticulous planning, coordination, and adaptability. Small changes in one area can have cascading effects on other components, necessitating constant monitoring and adjustment. Without a robust framework to manage complexity, projects are at risk of delays, cost overruns, and suboptimal outcomes.

To navigate these challenges, teams must adopt a systems-thinking approach. This involves understanding the project as a whole, identifying interdependencies, and anticipating potential ripple effects. Agile methodologies focus on iterative progress and continuous improvement and are well-suited for managing complexity. By breaking projects into smaller, manageable increments, teams can tackle challenges incrementally while maintaining a clear view of the bigger picture (Jackson, 2019).

3.4. Resource Management

Resource management is a critical challenge in multidisciplinary collaboration, requiring teams to effectively balance expertise, technology, and budgets. The integration of energy and technology often demands specialized knowledge, cutting-edge tools, and significant financial investment. Allocating these resources efficiently is a constant balancing act, particularly when working with limited budgets and tight timelines (Gaimon, Hora, & Ramachandran, 2017).

One of the primary challenges in resource management is ensuring that the right expertise is available at the right time. Multidisciplinary projects often require contributions from various specialists, whose availability may be limited. Coordinating these contributions while avoiding bottlenecks is a complex task that requires careful planning and scheduling (Ben-Menahem, Von Krogh, Erden, & Schneider, 2016).

Additionally, technology integration presents its own set of challenges. Teams must select and implement tools that align with the project's objectives while ensuring compatibility across disciplines (Shahin, Babar, & Zhu, 2017). This requires a thorough understanding of both current capabilities and future needs. Budget constraints further complicate this process, as teams must prioritize investments that deliver the greatest value while staying within financial limits. Agile practices can enhance resource management by promoting flexibility and efficiency. By focusing on incremental progress, teams can allocate resources dynamically based on immediate priorities, rather than committing all resources upfront. This approach allows teams to adapt to changing circumstances and optimize resource utilization throughout the project lifecycle (Kavitha & Suresh, 2019).

4. Agile Solutions for Overcoming Challenges

4.1. Dynamic Team Structuring

Dynamic team structuring is a cornerstone of Agile solutions for overcoming the challenges inherent in multidisciplinary collaboration. Agile teams are cross-functional and flexible, unlike traditional teams with rigid roles and hierarchical structures. This approach allows individuals from diverse disciplines to collaborate more effectively, leveraging their unique skills to address complex project demands (Paquette & Frankl, 2015).

By forming cross-functional teams, organizations can break down silos that often hinder communication and collaboration. This structure encourages team members to understand and respect the expertise of others while contributing their own knowledge. The fluidity of roles within Agile teams ensures that responsibilities can shift as project priorities evolve, enabling the team to adapt to unforeseen challenges (Hoda & Murugesan, 2016). For example, in energy and technology integration projects, a team member initially responsible for system design may later take on a coordination role to facilitate communication between technical and policy teams. This flexibility enhances the team's ability to respond to emerging needs and ensures that all aspects of the project receive adequate attention. Moreover, dynamic team structuring fosters a sense of ownership and accountability among members, driving motivation and commitment to project success (Šmite et al., 2021).

4.2. Iterative Communication Models

Effective communication is critical for aligning goals and ensuring smooth collaboration in multidisciplinary projects. Iterative communication models, a key feature of Agile methodologies, address this need by establishing regular feedback loops and checkpoints. These models create opportunities for team members to share updates, discuss challenges, and refine their strategies based on real-time insights (Saragih, Dachyar, & Zagloel, 2021).

In an iterative communication model, teams conduct frequent meetings, such as daily stand-ups or sprint reviews, to maintain alignment and transparency. These sessions encourage open dialogue and provide a platform for resolving issues promptly. By facilitating continuous feedback, iterative communication ensures that the team remains on track and can adapt to changes without significant disruptions.

Additionally, iterative communication models promote inclusivity by ensuring that all voices are heard. This is particularly important in multidisciplinary teams, where diverse perspectives can lead to innovative solutions. For instance, in a project involving renewable energy technologies, iterative discussions might reveal insights from engineers, economists, and environmental scientists, enabling the team to effectively balance technical feasibility, cost efficiency, and sustainability (Hidalgo, 2019).

4.3. Enhanced Decision-Making

Agile solutions emphasize enhanced decision-making through the use of collective intelligence and adaptive strategies. In complex projects, decision-making often involves weighing multiple factors, such as technical constraints, stakeholder priorities, and market dynamics. Agile practices enable teams to navigate this complexity by fostering collaboration and leveraging the diverse expertise within the team (Drury-Grogan, Conboy, & Acton, 2017).

One way Agile enhances decision-making is by encouraging participatory processes. Rather than relying solely on topdown directives, Agile teams involve all members in discussions and evaluations, ensuring that a wide range informs decisions of perspectives. This approach improves the quality of decisions and increases buy-in from team members, as they feel actively engaged in shaping project outcomes (Moran, 2015).

Another aspect of enhanced decision-making is the emphasis on adaptability. Agile teams recognize that conditions can change rapidly, requiring decisions to be revisited and adjusted as new information emerges. This adaptive approach minimizes the risks associated with rigid planning and allows the team to respond effectively to uncertainties. For instance, an Agile team might use collective brainstorming in a smart grid integration project to evaluate the trade-offs between different energy storage solutions. By pooling their expertise, the team can identify the most viable option while remaining open to revising their choice if new data becomes available (Demir, Canan, & Cohen, 2021).

4.4. Tool and Technology Enablement

The use of Agile-friendly tools and technologies plays a pivotal role in streamlining workflows and enhancing collaboration in multidisciplinary projects. These tools facilitate communication, coordination, and task management, ensuring that teams can operate efficiently despite the complexity of their work.

Agile tools, such as Kanban boards or project management software, provide visual representations of tasks, timelines, and progress, enabling teams to stay organized and focused. These tools also support transparency by allowing all members to view the project's status at any time. This visibility reduces misunderstandings and helps team members anticipate and address potential bottlenecks (Malakar, 2021).

In addition to project management tools, communication platforms are essential for maintaining seamless interactions in Agile teams. Video conferencing, instant messaging, and collaborative document-sharing tools enable team members to connect and share information in real time, regardless of physical location. Such technologies are particularly valuable in multidisciplinary projects, where team members often come from different organizations or regions (Nyktarakis, 2022).

Moreover, Agile-friendly tools can support data analysis and decision-making by providing insights into performance metrics and trends. For example, in an energy efficiency initiative, analytics tools might help the team monitor energy usage patterns and identify areas for improvement. By integrating these tools into their workflows, Agile teams can enhance their ability to deliver high-quality results (Serrat, 2021).

5. Conclusion

Agile leadership emerges as a transformative approach to addressing the challenges associated with multidisciplinary collaboration in energy and technology integration projects. These challenges—ranging from cultural differences and communication barriers to the inherent complexity of projects and resource management—can hinder progress and compromise outcomes if not managed effectively. Agile leadership, with its principles of adaptability, collaboration, and iterative progress, offers a practical and robust framework to navigate these complexities.

By fostering dynamic team structures, Agile leadership ensures that cross-functional expertise is leveraged efficiently, breaking down silos and encouraging a cohesive approach to problem-solving. Iterative communication models address the misalignment in terminologies and expectations that often arise in multidisciplinary settings, ensuring clarity and alignment throughout the project lifecycle. Enhanced decision-making processes allow teams to adapt to evolving circumstances, leveraging collective intelligence to make informed and flexible choices. Furthermore, the integration of Agile-friendly tools and technologies streamlines workflows, improves transparency, and enables real-time collaboration across diverse teams.

Agile leadership not only mitigates challenges but also drives innovation and efficiency. Its emphasis on continuous feedback and incremental delivery ensures that projects remain aligned with objectives while allowing room for adaptation and improvement. In the rapidly evolving landscape of energy and technology, these qualities make Agile leadership an invaluable asset.

Recommendations

To fully realize the benefits of Agile leadership in energy and technology integration projects, organizations should consider the following practical steps:

- Equip teams with the knowledge and skills required to adopt Agile practices effectively. This includes providing training on Agile methodologies, tools, and principles and fostering leadership development programs to prepare managers for their roles as Agile facilitators.
- Create an organizational culture that values inclusivity, open communication, and mutual respect. Encourage team members to engage in cross-disciplinary learning and appreciate the diverse perspectives that each discipline brings to the table.
- Adopt structured Agile frameworks, such as Scrum or Kanban, to provide teams with clear collaboration, communication, and task management guidelines. Tailor these frameworks to suit the unique demands of energy and technology projects.

- Invest in tools that support Agile workflows, such as project management software, data analytics platforms, and communication technologies. Ensure that these tools are user-friendly and scalable to meet the needs of complex projects.
- Encourage teams to focus on delivering smaller, measurable outcomes throughout the project. This approach reduces risk and provides opportunities for regular feedback and improvement, ensuring that the project remains aligned with stakeholder expectations.

By embracing these recommendations, organizations can harness Agile leadership's full potential to overcome multidisciplinary collaboration challenges. As energy and technology integration projects continue to grow in complexity, Agile leadership offers a pathway to innovation, efficiency, and sustainable success.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

- [1] Attar, M., & Abdul-Kareem, A. (2020). The role of agile leadership in organisational agility. In *Agile business leadership methods for industry 4.0* (pp. 171-191): Emerald Publishing Limited.
- [2] Ben-Menahem, S. M., Von Krogh, G., Erden, Z., & Schneider, A. (2016). Coordinating knowledge creation in multidisciplinary teams: Evidence from early-stage drug discovery. *Academy of Management Journal*, 59(4), 1308-1338.
- [3] Brinck, G., & Hartman, J. (2017). Managing a lean-agile leadership transformation in a traditional organization.
- [4] Chu, S. K. W., Reynolds, R. B., Tavares, N. J., Notari, M., & Lee, C. W. Y. (2021). 21st century skills development through inquiry-based learning from theory to practice: Springer.
- [5] Crnogaj, K., Tominc, P., & Rožman, M. (2022). A conceptual model of developing an agile work environment. *Sustainability*, *14*(22), 14807.
- [6] Demir, M., Canan, M., & Cohen, M. C. (2021). *Modeling team interaction and interactive decision-making in agile human-machine teams.* Paper presented at the 2021 IEEE 2nd International Conference on Human-Machine Systems (ICHMS).
- [7] Driscoll, P. J., Parnell, G. S., & Henderson, D. L. (2022). *Decision making in systems engineering and management*: John Wiley & Sons.
- [8] Drury-Grogan, M. L., Conboy, K., & Acton, T. (2017). Examining decision characteristics & challenges for agile software development. *Journal of Systems and Software*, *131*, 248-265.
- [9] Ford, R. C., Loughry, M. L., & Ford, L. R. (2020). Leading a Pivot: How Agile Leaders Redirect Team Efforts to Overcome Barriers in Strategy Execution. *SAM Advanced Management Journal (07497075), 85*(3).
- [10] Gaimon, C., Hora, M., & Ramachandran, K. (2017). Towards building multidisciplinary knowledge on management of technology: An introduction to the special issue. *Production and Operations Management, 26*(4), 567-578.
- [11] Gibbons, P. (2015). The science of successful organizational change: How leaders set strategy, change behavior, and create an agile culture: FT Press.
- [12] Hall, K. L., Vogel, A. L., Huang, G. C., Serrano, K. J., Rice, E. L., Tsakraklides, S. P., & Fiore, S. M. (2018). The science of team science: A review of the empirical evidence and research gaps on collaboration in science. *American psychologist*, 73(4), 532.
- [13] Hayward, S. (2015). Connected leadership: How to build a more agile, customer-driven business: Pearson UK.
- [14] Hayward, S. (2021). The agile leader: How to create an agile business in the digital age: Kogan Page Publishers.
- [15] Hidalgo, E. S. (2019). Adapting the scrum framework for agile project management in science: case study of a distributed research initiative. *Heliyon*, *5*(3).
- [16] Hoda, R., & Murugesan, L. K. (2016). Multi-level agile project management challenges: A self-organizing team perspective. *Journal of Systems and Software*, *117*, 245-257.

- [17] Holbeche, L. (2015). *The Agile Organization: How to build an innovative, sustainable and resilient business*: Kogan Page Publishers.
- [18] Jackson, M. C. (2019). Critical systems thinking and the management of complexity: John Wiley & Sons.
- [19] Kannegieter, T. H. (2021). Communicating and Collaborating. *Beyond Interdisciplinarity: Boundary Work, Communication, and Collaboration*, 79.
- [20] Kavitha, R., & Suresh, M. (2019). *Agile practices in human resource management.* Paper presented at the International Conference on Advances in Materials Research.
- [21] Lauer, C., Brumberger, E., & Beveridge, A. (2018). Hand collecting and coding versus data-driven methods in technical and professional communication research. *IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication*, 61(4), 389-408.
- [22] Layton, M. C., Ostermiller, S. J., & Kynaston, D. J. (2020). Agile project management for dummies: John Wiley & Sons.
- [23] Leffingwell, D. (2016). *SAFe*® 4.0 reference guide: scaled agile framework® for lean software and systems engineering: Addison-Wesley Professional.
- [24] Malakar, S. (2021). AGILE in Practice: Practical Use-cases on Project Management Methods including Agile, Kanban and Scrum (English Edition): BPB Publications.
- [25] Moran, A. (2015). Managing agile. *Strategy, implementation, organisation and People. cham: Springer.*
- [26] Mourtzis, D., Angelopoulos, J., & Panopoulos, N. (2022). A Literature Review of the Challenges and Opportunities of the Transition from Industry 4.0 to Society 5.0. *Energies*, *15*(17), 6276.
- [27] Nishii, L. H., & Leroy, H. (2022). A multi-level framework of inclusive leadership in organizations. In (Vol. 47, pp. 683-722): Sage Publications Sage CA: Los Angeles, CA.
- [28] Noguera, I., Guerrero-Roldán, A.-E., & Masó, R. (2018). Collaborative agile learning in online environments: Strategies for improving team regulation and project management. *Computers & Education, 116*, 110-129.
- [29] Nyktarakis, G. (2022). Technology Enabling Collaboration of Agile Development Teams in Hybrid Working: The case of Microsoft Teams. In.
- [30] Otaraku, I. J., & Dada, M. A. (2014). Energy Analysis of the Natural Gas to Hydrocarbon Liquids (GTL) Process Units. *International Journal of Science and Technology*, 4(4).
- [31] Paquette, P., & Frankl, M. (2015). *Agile project management for business transformation success*: Business Expert Press.
- [32] Pretorius, S., Steyn, H., & Bond-Barnard, T. J. (2018). Leadership styles in projects: Current trends and future opportunities. *South African Journal of Industrial Engineering*, *29*(3), 161-172.
- [33] Sackey, E., Tuuli, M., & Dainty, A. (2015). Sociotechnical systems approach to BIM implementation in a multidisciplinary construction context. *Journal of management in engineering*, *31*(1), A4014005.
- [34] Saragih, L. R., Dachyar, M., & Zagloel, T. Y. M. (2021). Implementation of telecommunications cross-industry collaboration through agile project management. *Heliyon*, 7(5).
- [35] Serrat, O. (2021). Techtonic: The role of technology in organizations. *Unpublished Manuscript, The Chicago School of Professional Psychology*.
- [36] Shahin, M., Babar, M. A., & Zhu, L. (2017). Continuous integration, delivery and deployment: a systematic review on approaches, tools, challenges and practices. *IEEE access*, *5*, 3909-3943.
- [37] Sjöö, K., & Hellström, T. (2021). The two sides of the coin: joint project leader interaction in university-industry collaboration projects. *R&D Management*, *51*(5), 484-493.
- [38] Šmite, D., Moe, N. B., & Gonzalez-Huerta, J. (2021). Overcoming cultural barriers to being agile in distributed teams. *Information and Software Technology*, *138*, 106612.
- [39] Spiegler, S. V., Heinecke, C., & Wagner, S. (2021). An empirical study on changing leadership in agile teams. *Empirical Software Engineering*, *26*, 1-35.
- [40] Stahl, G. K., & Maznevski, M. L. (2021). Unraveling the effects of cultural diversity in teams: A retrospective of research on multicultural work groups and an agenda for future research. *Journal of International Business Studies*, *52*(1), 4.