

International Journal of Frontiers in **Engineering and Technology Research**

Journal homepage: https://frontiersrj.com/journals/ijfetr/ ISSN: 2783-0497 (Online)

(RESEARCH ARTICLE)

Investigation of water and heat response to the compression property of raffia, bamboo and coconut fiber-reinforced-polyester composites

Obi LE^{1,*}, Uwanugo RG² and Uchejiora M²

¹Civil Engineering Department, Imo state University, Owerri, Nigeria. ² Civil Engineering Department, Chukwuemeka Odumegwu Ojukwu University, Anambra State, Nigeria.

International Journal of Frontiers in Engineering and Technology Research, 2021, 01(01), 045–060

Publication history: Received on 03 April 2021; revised on 05 May 2021; accepted on 07 May 2021

Article DOI[: https://doi.org/10.53294/ijfetr.2021.1.1.0045](https://doi.org/10.53294/ijfetr.2021.1.1.0045)

Abstract

The dearth of construction materials has been the bane of the global construction industry. In a bid to curb this menace, it becomes very imperative to source for construction materials from discarded and least costly materials from raffia, bamboo and coconut fibers. This research investigates the hydrothermal response of plant fiber-reinforced-polyester composites (PFRC). Imperical methods were used to determine the mechanical properties of PFRC (bamboo, raffia and coconut fiber composites), with the usage of Monasanto Tensometer testing machine. All the samples were chemically modified with 12.5g of sodium hydroxide. Numerical and micro-soft excel graphics were used to model compressive responses of the PFRCs. From the analyses, the compressive strengths of raffia, bamboo and coconut composites are 40, 45 and 38MPa respectively.

Keywords: Hydrothermal; Polyester composites; Compression properties; Fiber

1. Introduction

The fibers of raffia, bamboo and coconut have found particular applications in construction and in the constitution of composites. Nature has imbued the earth with plant fibers which provide basic raw materials for industries often times they used as additives for the manufacture of different products. Eckert (2000) predicted that between era 2020 – 2025 there would be fifty percent increase in the use of natural fibers in plastic industry. They, generally referred to as lignocelluloses materials are derived from woods or agricultural materials, such as bamboo, raffia, coconut, kenaf, jute, hemp, flax, etc. They are available in many different forms, and produce different properties when added to thermoplastics (Sanadi et al., 1995, Zaian et al., 1996). They may be used in the form of particles, fiber bundles or single fibers, and may act as fillers or reinforcements for plastics (Oswald, 1999).

Plant fiber-reinforced-composites (PFRCs) have gained attention in the recent times due to their high performance in mechanical properties, significant processing advantages, excellent chemical resistance, low cost, low density, availability of the natural resources and renewability of the source plants. Also, PFRCs provide positive environmental benefits and raw materials utilization. They also have better tensile strengths and stiffnesses than plastic and engineering materials. The objective of the research is to investigate the response of water and heat on the compression property of plant fibers-reinforced- polyester composites by using raffia, bamboo and coconut fibers as the reinforcements.

***** Corresponding author: Obi LE

Civil Engineering Department, Imo state University, Owerri, Nigeria.

Copyright © 2021 Author(s) retain the copyright of this article. This article is published under the terms of th[e Creative Commons Attribution Liscense 4.0.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/deed.en_US)

1.1. Reinforcement Plant Fiber Characteristics

Natural fibers are grouped into seed, bast, leaf, grass and fruit qualities. The bast and leaf (the hard fibers) fibers are the most commonly used in composites applications (Williams and Wool, 2000). The three fibers that were used in the laboratory analyses in this research – bamboo, raffia and coconut fibers have densities of about half that of glass fibers (a synthetic fiber). These fibers can withstand processing temperatures up to 2500C (Sreekala et al., 2002). They are fully combustible without production of either noxious gases or solid residues. The strength characteristics of these fibers depend on the properties of their individual constituents, their fibrillar structures and Lamellae matrices (Joseph et al., 2000). Also, fiber quality determinant characteristics include fiber fitness, polymerization of the cellulose, cleanness or purity, and homogeneity of the sample. Plant fiber properties directly influence the physical parameters of the reinforced composites manufactured with them (John et al., 2002). The properties of these fibers are determined by their molecular fine structure, which are in turn affected by the growing conditions and processing techniques employed in the processing of the fibers.

Quality, specific strength, stiffness, and other properties of fiber depend on factors such as size, maturity and the processing methods adopted for the fiber extraction (Mohanty et al., 2001). Properties such as density, electrical resistance, ultimate tensile strength, and initial modulus are related to the internal structure and chemical composition of the fiber.

Desirable properties for fibers include high tensile strength and modulus, high durability, low bulk density, good mouldability and recyclability. Natural fibers have advantages over glass and synthetic fibers in that they are less expensive, abundantly available from renewable resources, have high specific strengths, and are of less weight.

1.2. Water and Heat Behavior of Composites

There are two principal effects of changes in the hydrothermal environment on mechanical behaviour of polymer composites. These are the matrix-dominated properties and the hygrothermal expansion or contraction of the composites.

1.2.1. Matrix-dominated Properties of Composites

These properties, such as stiffness and tensile strength are altered when the composites are subjected to transverse offaxis or shear loading. Increase in temperature causes gradual softening of the polymer matrix material up to a point. If temperature is increased beyond the so-called "glass transition region" (indicating a transition from glassy behaviour to rubbery behaviour), the polymer becomes too soft for use.

1.2.2. Water and Heat Expansion or Contraction

This changes the stress and strain distribution in the composites. Increased temperature and/or moisture content cause swelling of the polymer matrix, whereas reduced temperature and/or moisture content cause contraction.

1.3. Water and Heat Degradation of Composite Properties

Imposed hygrothermal condition causes substantial reductions of both strength and stiffness in graphite/epoxy composites under hygrothermal conditions of various combinations of temperature and absorbed moisture; with the "hot-wet" conditions (combined high temperature and high moisture content) generating the most severe degradation (Browning et al., 1994). As a result of the hygrothermal sensitivity of matrix-dominated-composite properties, composites having continuous fibers and high fiber contents absorb little moisture, and exhibit negligible changes in modulus with time of soaking.

Conversely, composites with matrix-dominated behaviour (i.e. those with chopped fibers only, and low fiber contents) are characterized with most moisture picking and greatest reduction in modulus.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Materials

The basic raw materials include fibers (coconut, raffia, palm, and bamboo fibers), polyester resin, accelerator (cobalt), catalyst (MEKP), binders, gel coat resins, release agents and formica moulds. The tools used include paint brush, a pair of scissors, rubber hand gloves, rollers, and electric cutting machine.

2.2. Methods

The methods applied are fibre extraction from raffia, bamboo and coconut and the treatment of the fibers with sodium hydroxide.

2.2.1. Preparation of the Composites for Testing

The composites were made from the processed and matted fibers. The resin was accelerated with cobalt, then catalyzed with MEKP. The composites were then cut into test specimens of the required size to suit the Monsanto universal testing machine. For the tension test, the laminates were cut into strips of average dimensions of $(300x21x5.2)$ mm³ and the specimens for the compressive test, of dimensions of (40 x 20 x 20) mm3.

2.2.2. Compression Tests

Compression test was carried out with the Hounsfield (Monsanto) Tensometer – modelno. S/N8889. It is a universal tester with various interchangeable attachments for performing compression tests with their appropriate loading arms.

Compression test parameters:

Cross sectional area = 20 mm x 20 mm = 400 mm²

Gauge length = $\sqrt{cross\, sectional\,area}$ = 20 mm.

2.2.3. Volume Fraction Measurement

Archimedes' principle was applied in the determination of the fibers' volume fraction.

Solid volume fraction $=\frac{\text{volume of solid}}{\text{volume of fluid}}$ ∴ Fiber volume fraction = $\frac{\text{volume of fiber}}{\text{volume of composite}}$ = $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{f}}$ $v_{\rm C}$ $=\frac{V_f}{V}$ $\frac{v_{\rm f}}{v_{\rm f} + v_m} = 2.5$

3. Results and discussion

The loads (forces) and extentions values obtained from the graphics of the Monsanto Tensometer were used to evaluate the strain and stress responses of each sample. The ultimate tensile strength (UTS) and moduli of elasticities (E) were read from the strain – stress curves.The strain – stressvalves of raffia, bamboo, and coconut fibers-reinforced-polyester composites for conditioned(modified) and unconditioned (nonmodified) samples were plotted. These processes were carried out at constant fiber-volume-fraction Vf of 0.35. The entire specimens were modified (chemically treated with NAOH). The specimens were soaked for 4hrs, 8hrs, 12hrs, and 24hrs, and heated for 200C, 400C, 800C, and 1000C. The results of the compressive tests and moisture absorption properties of the different fibers-reinforced-polyester composites are tabulated below.

3.1. Compression Test

The compressive strength of material is the ultimate stress required to cause failure under compressive loading. It is determined by

$$
Stress \space \sigma = \frac{Force \ (Load)}{Cross\; sectional\; area,} \text{ and strain} = \frac{Deformation}{Gauge\; length}
$$

The data analyzed from tables 3.16 to 3.30 show that the compressive strength of all conditioned (treated) composite samples were greater than their respective unconditioned (untreated) composites. Below are the tables and graphs of the compression test results.

Figure 1 Compression test stress-strain response of 20x20x40mm³ raffia fiber-reinforced-polyester composite samples at 20°C

Figure 3 Compression test stress-strain response of 20 x 20 x 40 mm³ raffia fiber-reinforced- polyester composite samples at 60°C

Figure 5 Compression test stress-strain response of 20 x 20 x 40 mm³ raffia fiber- reinforced-polyester composite samples at 60°C

Figure 2 Compression test stress-strain response of 220 x 20 x 40 mm3 raffia fiber-reinforced-polyester composite samples at 40°C

Figure 4 Compression test stress-strain response of 20 x 20 x 40 mm³ raffia fiber-reinforced-polyester composite samples (untreated)

Figure 6 Compression test stress-strain response of 20 x 20 x 40 mm³ raffia fiber-reinforced-polyester composite samples *at*60°C

4 hours		8 hours		12 hours		24 hours	
Strain (mm/mm)	Stress (MPa)	Strain mm/mm	Stress (MPa)	strain (mm/mm)	Stress (MPa)	strain (mm/mm)	Streșs (MPa)
0.0000	0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.0000	0.00
0.0001	3.00	0.0100	0.25	0.0100	0.50	0.0000	0.25
0.0010	5.50	0.1250	3.00	0.0100	3.00	0.0100	2.50
0.0310	8.00	0.0250	5.25	0.0310	5.50	0.0621	5.25
0.0420	10.50	0.0500	8.00	0.0420	8.00	0.0930	7.50
0.0511	13.00	0.0750	10.50 ٠	0.0511	10.50	0.1131	10.00
(1.0623)	15.50	0.0875	13.00	0.0623	13.00	0.1302	12.50
0.0801	18.00	0.1000	15.25	0.0721	15.50	0.1601	15.00
0.0902	20.25	0.1125	18.00	0.0823	18.00	0.1723	17.75
0.1101	23.00	0.1250	20.25	0.0900	20.25	0.1801	20.00
0.1300	25.50	0.1375	23.00	0.1023	23.25	0.2102	22.75
0.1401	27.75	0.1500	25.25	0.1120	25.50	0.2301	25.25
0.1502	30.25	0.1625	27.75	0.1200	28.00	0.2400	27.50
0.1631	33.00	0.1750	30.25	0.1301	30.50	0.2601	30.25
0.1723	35.50	0.1875	32.75	0.1423	33.00	0.2801	32.50
0.1801	37.75	0.2000	35.25	0.1524	35.50	0.2902	35.25
0.1900	40.00	0.2250	37.75	0.1630	38.00	0.3101	37.50
0.2101	43.00	0.2375	40.50	0.1731	40.50	0.3300	40.00
0.2203	45.00	0.2625	45.00	0.1832	43.25	0.3601	42.75
0.2302	47.75	0.2750	47.75	0.1930	45.25	0.3902	45.25
0.2404	50.00	0.2875	50.00	0.2013	48.00		
				0.2103	50.00		

Table 1 Compression stress - strain response of 20 x 20 x 40 mm³ for raffia fiber-reinforced-polyester composite samples at 20^oC (treated)

Figure 7 Compression test stress-strain response of 20 x 20 x 40 mm³ bamboo fiber-reinforced-Polyester composite samples at 60^oC

Table 2 Compression test stress-strain response of 20 x 20 x 40 mm3 for raffia fiber-reinforced-polyester composite samples at 40^oC (treated)

Figure 9 Compression test stress-strain response of 20 x 20 x 40 mm³ coconut fiber-reinforced-polyester Composite samples at 200C

Figure 10 Compression test stress-strain response of 20 x 20 x 40 mm³ coconut fiber-reinforced-polyester Composite samples at 400C

Table 2 Compression test stress-strain response of 20 x 20 x 40 mm3 for raffia fiber-reinforced-Polyester composite samples at 60^oC (treated)

Figure 11 Compression test stress – strain response

Figure 12 Compression test stress – strain response

Of 20 x 20 x 40 mm³ coconut fiber-reinforced-polyester Composite samples at 1000C (untreated)

Figure 15 Compression test stress-strain response of 20 x 20 x 40 mm³ raffia fiberreinforced-polyester composite samples at 12hrs

Figure 16 Compression test stress-strain response Of 20 x 20 x 40 mm³ raffia fiber-reinforced-Polyester composite samples at 24hrs

Figure 17 Compression test stress-strain response Of 20 x 20 x 40 mm³ bamboo fiber-reinforced-polyester composite samples at 4hrs

Figure 18 Compression test stress-strain response Of 20 x 20 x 40 mm³ bamboo fiber-reinforced-polyester composite samples at8hrs

Figure 19 Compressiontest stress-strain response Of 20 x 20 x 40 mm³ bamboo fiber-reinforced-polyester composite samples at 12hrs

Figure 21 Compression test stress-strain response Of 20 x 20 x 40 mm3coconut fiber-reinforced- polyester composite samples at 4hrs

Figure 23 Compression test stress-strain response Of 20 x 20 x 40 mm3coconutfiber-reinforced -polyester composite samples at 12hrs

Figure 20 Compression test stress-strain response Of 20 x 20 x 40 mm³ bamboo fiber-reinforced-polyester composite samples at 24hrs

Figure 22 Compression test stress-strain response Of 20 x 20 x 40 mm3coconut fiber-reinforcedpolyester composite samples at 8hrs

Figure 24 Compression test stress-strain response Of 20 x 20 x 40 mm3coconutfiber-reinforced-polyester composite samples at 24hrs

Table 3 Compression test stress – strain response of 20 x 20 x 40 mm³ for raffia fiber-reinforced-Polyester composite samples at 100^oC (treated)

Table 4 Compression test stress – strain response of 20 x 20 x 40 mm³ for raffia fiber-reinforced-Polyester composite samples (untreated)

Table 5 Compression test stress – strain response of 20 x 20 x 40 mm³ forbamboo fiber-reinforced- Polyester composite samples at 200C (treated)

Table 6 Compression test stress –strain response of 20 x 20 x 40 mm3 for bamboo fiber-reinforced- polyester composite samples at 40 0C (treated)

Table 7 Compression test stress –strain response of 20 x 20 x 40 mm³ for bamboo fiber-reinforced- polyester composite samplesat 60° c (treated)

Table 8 Compression test stress –strain response of 20 x 20 x 40 mm³ for bamboo fiber-reinforced- polyester composite samplesat 100°c (treated)

Table 9 Compression test stress –strain response of 20 x 20 x 40 mm3 for bamboo fiber-reinforced- polyester composite samplesat 100oc (treated)

Table 10 Compression test stress –strain response of 20x20x40mm3 for coconut fiber-reinforced- polyester composite samplesat 20oc (treated)

Ī.

Table 11 Compression test stress – strain response of 20 x 20 x 40 mm³ for coconut fiber-reinforced-polyester composite samplesat 40°C (treated)

Table 12 Compression test stress – strain response of 20 x 20 x 40 mm3for coconut fiber-reinforced- polyester composite sample at 60°c (treated)

4 hours		8 hours		12 hours		24 hours	
Strain	Stress	Strain	Stress	strain	Stress	strain	Stress
(mm/mm)	(MPa)	mm/mm	(MPa)	(mm/mm)	(MPa)	mm/mm	(MPa)
0.0000	0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.0000	0.00
0.0250	3.00	0.0250	3.00	0.0375	5.25	0.0125	3.00
0.0500	5.50	0.0375	5.50	0.0625	10.25	0.0250	5.50
0.0625	8.00	0.0625	8.00	0.1000	15.50	0.0500	8.00
0.0875	10.25	0.0750	10.50	0.1375	20.25	0.0625	10.50
0 1000	15.50	0.0875	13.00'	0.1875	25.25	0.0750	13.00
o 1375	20.50	0.1000	15.50	0.2250	28.00	0.0875	15.50
0.1625	25.50	0.1125	18.00	0.2375	30.25	0.1000	18.00
$= 0.1875$	30.50	0.1125	20.50	0.2500	33.75	0.1125	20.50
0.2125	35.50	0.1375	25.50	0.2750	35.25	0.1250	23.00
0.2500	40.50	0.1500	28.00	0.2875	37.50	0.1375	25.50
0.2750	45.50	0.1625	30.50	0.3125	40.00	0.1500	28.00
0.3000	47.75	0.1625	33.00	0.3500	42.50	0.1625	30.50
0.3125	50.00	0.1750	35.50	0.4000	44.00	0.1750	33.99
		0.1875	3775			0.1875	35.50
		0.2000	40.50			0.2000	38.00
		0.2125	42.75			0.2125	40.50
		0.2250	45.75			0.2250	43.00
		0.2375	47.75			0.2500	45.00
		0.2500	50.00			0.2500	49.00

4hrs		8hrs		12hrs		24hrs	
Strain	Stress	Strain	Stress	Strain	Stress	Strain	Stress
(mm/mm)	(MPa)	(mm/mm)	(MPa)	(mm/mm)	(MPa)	(mm/mm)	(MPa)
0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
0.0310	4.0000	0.0100	2.5000	0.1900	0.2500	0.1368	6.7500
0.0601	12.5000	0.0700	11.5000	0.0313	2.7500	0.1875	10.7500
0.0900	19.7500	0.1250	18.0000	0.0875	7.7500	0.1900	15.0000
0.1100	25.5000	0.1650	28.5000	0.1250	15.0000	0.2500	20,0000
0.1501	35.0000	0.1875	30.7500	0.1875	25.7500	0.2750	25,0000
0.1830	38.5000	0.2250	35.0000	0,2250	30,0000	0.3000	27.5000
0.2001	42.7500	0.2565	37,0000	0.2475	33.0000	0.3200	30.7500
0.2530	44.5000	0.2860	40.5000	0.3016	37.7500	0.3560	33,0000
0.3080	46.0000	0.3000	42.7500	0.3580	40.0000	0.3840	38,0000
		0.3500	46.7500				

Table 13 Compression test stress – strain response of 20 x 20 x 40 mm3for coconut fiber-reinforced-polyester composite samplesat 1000C (treated)

Table 14 Compression test stress – strain response of 20 x 20 x 40 mm3 for coconut fiber-reinforced-polyester composite samples (untreated)

With the tables and figures, it can be deciphered that bamboo-fiber-formed composite is the best in compression among the raffia and coconut-formed composites. Moisture in both normal and elevated temperature conditions has detrimental effect on the mechanical properties of raffia, bamboo, and coconut fiber-reinforced- polyester composites. The extent of damage is more severe in cases of high temperature and moisture.

4. Conclusion

- The fractured surfaces revealed de-bonded surfaces between the reinforcements and the matrices, especially for samples subjected to increased temperatures.
- The maximum yield stresses of compression test results are far greater than the tension test results because the plant fibers were chopped strand fibers, which have high resistance to compression loads than to the tensile loads.
- Plant fiber-reinforced-polyester composites (PFRPCs) specimens developed with the modified fibers and polyesters are human and environmentally friendly.

The hand lay-up method used in this project, though labour intensive, is economically effective. We foresee that in the near future, plant fiber-reinforced composites will be better engineering materials substitutes for synthetic fiberreinforced composites.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest.

References

- [1] Bei Wang. Pre-treatment of Flax Fiber for use in rotationally moulded Bio-composites, Polymer Composites. 2004; 12(3): 94 – 101.
- [2] Browning CE, Husman GE, Whitney JM. Moisture effects in Epoxy Matrix Composites, Composite Materials Testing and Design. 1997.
- [3] Eckert C. Opportunities for natural Fiber in Plastic Composites, Journal of Applied Polymer Science. 2000; 34(7); 101 -106.
- [4] Jahn et al. Characterization of Alkali treated Flax Fibers by means of RT Raman Spectroscopy and Environmental scanning Electron Microscopy, SpectrochemicalActa, Part A: Molecular and BiomolecularSpectroscopy. 2002; 58(10): 2271-2279.
- [5] Joseph K. et al. Natural Fiber-reinforced Thermoplastic Composites, Journal of Natural Polymers and Agro-fiber Composites. 2000.
- [6] Mishra S, et al. Potential of Pineapple Leaf Fiber as Reinforcement in PALF Polyester Composites: Surface Modification and Mechanical Performance, Journal of Reinforced Plastics and Composites. 2001; 20(4): 107 – 113.
- [7] Mohanty AK, Misra M, Drzal LT. Surface Modification of Natural Fibers and Performance of the resulting Biocomposites: An Overview. CompositesInterfaces. 2000; 8(5): 313 – 343.
- [8] Sanadi AR, et al. Effect of Matrix Modification on Lignocellulosic Composites properties, Bulletin of Material Science. 1995; 17(4): 103-109.
- [9] Sreekala MS et al. Oil Palm Fiber-reinforced Phenol Formaldehyde Composite: Influence of Fiber Surface Modification on the Mechanical Performance, Applied Composite Materials. 2000; 7: 295 – 329.
- [10] Williams GI, Wool RP. Composites from Natural Fibers and Soy Oil Resins, Applied Composite Materials. 2000; 7: 421 – 432.
- [11] Zaian MJ, et al. The Effect of Fiber Content and Size on the Mechanical Properties of Polypropylene Oil Palm Wood Flour Composites, Composites Interfaces. 1996; 9(7): 119 – 123.